

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,456	01/31/2001	Michael J. Novak	MS1-728US	7082
22801	7590 03/21/2005	,	EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC			HANNE, SARA M	
SPOKANE,	RSIDE AVENUE SUITE WA 99201	500	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
,			2179	
•			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/773,456	NOVAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sara M Hanne	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2004.						
,—						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67-72</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1 (1	·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2001 is/are:)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	n □ · . •	· (DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o, 🗀 ouler					

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner withdraws the previous rejection from 8/2/04 on the basis that the Fernandez reference cannot be applied. The originally presented Claims 1-72 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Group I, Claims 1-10, drawn to skin composition in class 715, subclass 727, 744.

Group II, Claims 11-16, 30-33, mapping colors for skins in class 715, subclass 746, interface conversion.

Group III, Claims 22-29, drawn to file types in class 715, subclasses 513.

Group IV, Claims 34-44, drawn to a skin layout manager in class 715, subclass 762.

Group V, Claims 45-66, drawn to files within a compound file organized hierarchically in class 715, subclasses 514 and 515.

Group VI, Claims 67-72 subviews that are hidden and can be moved, class 715, subclass 762 or 768.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of skin composition, skin customization, hierarchical file organization and compound files representing skins, as well as sub-view structures or displays are are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for

Art Unit: 2179

making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus as claimed can be used to make a different product such as a hierarchical file unrelated to a skin customization.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Lance Sadler on 3/18/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group VI, claims 67-72. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-66 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2179

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in thisOffice action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Searle et al., US Patent 6778992, hereinafter Searle.

As to claims 67 and 72, Searle teaches defining a sub view using XML data structure (Col. 6, line 18), each sub view corresponding to a subsection within a skin that can be hidden (Col. 4, line 54) and defining multiple visible regions, individual visible regions associated with a visible region and having a attribute (Col. 4, line 40 et seq.), defining a tree structure with multiple nodes, each node associated with a visible region having an attribute, recalculating a visible region for a node responsive to an attribute change for the visible region, recalculating a visible region associated with a parent node of the node (Figure 4 and corresponding text) and after recalculating, re-rendering a skin associated with the tree structure (faceplate, Col. 9, lines 6 et seq.).

As to claim 68, Searle teaches defining a subview using an XML data structure (Col. 6, line 18).

Art Unit: 2179

As to claim 69, Searle teaches summing multiple visible regions (the whole is equal to the sum of it's parts).

As to claim 70, re-rendering takes place at runtime (Col. 9, line 60-65).

As to claim 71, defining of the tree structure at runtime (Col. 9, line 13 et. seq.).

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar skinned interfaces and hierarchical audio displays.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara M Hanne whose telephone number is (571) 272-4135. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm, off on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smh